

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED SPATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
HO. Box 1850
Alexandria, Francia 22313-1450
www.uspir.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,264	01/23/2002		David Henry Levy		8694
26161	7590	06/01/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI	CHARD	SON PC	ORTIZ, BELIX M		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2164	
				DATE MAIL ED: 06/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/053,264	LEVY, DAVID HENRY				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Belix M. Ortiz	2164				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ma</u>	arch 2006.					
2a)☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-10 and 27-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3-10, 27-40</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 :	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/053,264

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 3-7, 10, and 27-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the location" in lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. Claims 4-7, 10, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being dependent from rejected dependent claim 3.
- 5. Claim 28 recites the limitation "the open text document" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claims 29-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being dependent from rejected dependent claim 28.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,264

Art Unit: 2164

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Page 3

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claims 3, 8, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because we have held that the claimed subject matter does not fall within the definition of a "process" under § 101 and is an "abstract idea," and, therefore, it is not a "practical application" of the plan because it does not produce a "concrete and tangible result". The State Street test requires that subject matter be "useful" and "concrete" and "tangible". While the claimed subject matter may be "useful" because it has some utility to society, this is not enough. Therefore, we hold that claims 1-30 are directed to nonstatutory subject matter because they do not recite a "practical application" or produce a "concrete and tangible result" under State Street.

See, State Street, 149 F.3d at 1374-75, 47 USPQ2d at 1602 (Fed.Cir. 1998); In re Toma, 575 F.2d 872, 877-78, 197 USPQ 852, 857 (CCPA 1978); In re Musgrave, 431 F.2d 882, 893, 167 USPQ 280, 289-90 (CCPA 1970). See also In re Schrader, 22 F.3d 290, 297-98, 30 USPQ2d 1455, 1461-62 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (Newman, J., dissenting); Paine, Webber, Jackson & Curtis, Inc. v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., 564 F. Supp. 1358, 1368-69, 218 USPQ 212, 220 (D. Del. 1983).

Regarding claims 4-7, 9-10, and 27-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101, as being dependent from rejected independent claims 3, 8, and 28.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,264

Art Unit: 2164

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Belix M. Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-4081.

The examiner can normally be reached on moday-friday 9am-5pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

bmo May 30, 2006

SAM RIMELL. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4